1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	
4	RUTH A. HEEB
5	O DOCKET NO. -vs- 0 3-0513
6	MidAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY))
7	Complaint as to denial of) residential utility service) in Rock Island, Illinois.)
9	Wednesday, October 22, 2003
L 0	Springfield, Illinois
L 1	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.
L 2	net, parsuant to notice, at 3.00 a.m.
L 3	BEFORE:
L 4	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge
L 5	APPEARANCES:
L 6	MR. ROBERT McCOY
L 7	Prairie State Legal Services 208-18th Street
L 8	Suite 202 Rock Island, Illinois 61201
L 9	(Appearing for the complainant by
20	phone.)
21	
22	SULLIVAN REPORTING CO., by

1	APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)
2	MS. KAREN M. HUIZENGA 106 East Second Street
3	Davenport, Iowa 52801
4	(Appearing on behalf of MidAmerican Energy Company by phone.)
5	inergy company by phone.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1 4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	

1		I N D	E X		
2		D.T.D.T.G.T.	an a a a		D= 0D 0 00
3	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	RUTH A. HEEB By Mr. McCoy By Ms. Huizenga	21	29	45	4 9
5	By Judge Albers		42		50
6	JULIE A. DeSALVO By Ms. Huizenga By Mr. McCoy By Judge Albers	53		70	
7			5 8 6 6		72
8					
9					
10					
11		EXHI	BITS		
12			ID	ENTIFIED	ADMITTED
13	Complainant's Exhil	oit 1		21	25
14	MidAmerican Exhibit	t 1		5 4	58
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Whereupon Complainant's Exhibit 1
3	was marked for identification at this
4	time.)
5	JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me
6	by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
7	Docket No. 03-0513. This docket concerns a
8	complaint initiated by Ruth A. Heeb against
9	MidAmerican Energy Company.
L 0	The complaint concerns a denial of
L1	residential utility service in Rock Island,
L 2	Illinois.
L 3	May I have the appearances for the record,
L 4	please?
L 5	MR. McCOY: This is Robert McCoy of Prairie
L 6	State Legal Services for the complainant Ruth A.
L 7	Heeb. My address is 208-18th Street, Suite 202,
L 8	Rock Island, Illinois 61201; phone number
L 9	(309)794-1328.
2 0	MS. HUIZENGA: Karen M. Huizenga appearing on
21	behalf of MidAmerican Energy Company, 106 East
22	Second Street, Davenport, Iowa 52801. My phone is

- 1 (523)333-8006.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
- 3 Are there any others wishing to enter an
- 4 appearance? Let the record show no response.
- I also note for the record that both the
- 6 complainant and respondent are appearing by
- 7 telephone today.
- 8 Do either of you have any preliminary
- 9 matters?
- MR. McCOY: I have none, Your Honor.
- MS. HUIZENGA: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Are both of the
- witnesses present with you?
- 14 MS. HUIZENGA: Yes, they are, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I think at this time then to
- save us a few minutes later, I'll go ahead and swear
- in both witnesses right now.
- 18 Could both of you please stand and raise
- 19 your right hand?
- 20 (Whereupon the witnesses were sworn
- 21 by Judge Albers.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

- 1 All right. Mr. McCoy, would you like to
- call your witness, please?
- MR. McCOY: I call the complainant Ruth A.
- 4 Heeb.
- 5 RUTH A. HEEB
- 6 called as a witness herein, on behalf of the
- 7 Complainant, having been first duly sworn on her
- 8 oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. McCOY:
- 11 Q. Ms. Heeb, you have seen what's been declared
- 12 Complainant's Exhibit No. 1, the testimony of Ruth
- 13 A. Heeb in support of your complaint. You've seen
- this document?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. The questions and answers, you have reviewed
- the answers to the questions in the document and
- they're correct?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And you say that they're accurate to the best
- of your memory?
- 22 **A.** Yes.

- 1 JUDGE ALBERS: Can I interrupt you for a
- 2 minute?
- 3 Could Ms. Heeb step closer to the phone?
- We're sort of having a hard time hearing her.
- 5 Q. These answers are correct you're testifying?
- 6 A. To the best of my knowledge.
- 7 Q. You, of course, are a private individual. You
- 8 do not have complete records of all your
- 9 transactions with MidAmerican?
- 10 **A.** No.
- 11 Q. Do we have the computer system that they have
- 12 before us?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. But this is accurate to the best of your
- 15 information?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And to the best of your recollection,
- 18 you received continuous service from MidAmerican at
- the former residence, 1811-12th Street, Rock Island,
- 20 Illinois?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. What has been designated, you call it

- 1 the old account, it may have been in your husband's
- 2 name?
- JUDGE ALBERS: I think that last question
- 4 didn't come through very clear. I guess just given
- 5 the phone system, maybe if you'd slow down a little
- 6 bit and speak louder.
- 7 MR. McCOY: I'll try, Your Honor.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. And would you repeat
- 9 the last question, please?
- 10 Q. BY MR. McCOY: What was called the old account
- 11 97490-60010, that may have been in your husband's
- 12 name?
- 13 A. Possibly, yes.
- 14 Q. But you don't know for sure?
- 15 A. No, not for sure.
- Okay. But at your former address, you
- 17 received service continuously from MidAmerican
- 18 Energy Company, and that former address is 1811-12th
- 19 Street, Rock Island, Illinois?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. In the fall of 2001, you had Project NOW pay an
- 22 old bill to continue service?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. And at issue here is how that money was
- 3 applied, but as far as you know, it was all one
- 4 account?
- 5 A. As far as I knew, that's the way I assumed it
- 6 was, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. You were never told by MidAmerican or
- 8 anyone else that a new account was being started?
- 9 **A.** No, no.
- 10 Q. After Project NOW paid the old bill in your
- 11 understanding, you received no notice that you still
- owed money until May of this year, May of 2003?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- MR. McCOY: Your Honor, I think the rest of
- this testimony has been covered by Exhibit 1 but I'm
- going to reserve my right to cross-examine
- 17 Ms. DeSalvo.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Very good.
- Is it your intention to move Complainant's
- 20 Exhibit No. 1 into the record?
- MR. McCOY: Yes, it is, Your Honor. I move for
- 22 admission of Exhibit 1.

- 1 JUDGE ALBERS: Very good.
- Ms. Huizenga, do you have any objections
- 3 to the admission of Exhibit 1?
- 4 MS. HUIZENGA: No, I do not, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then
- 6 Complainant's Exhibit 1 is admitted.
- 7 (Whereupon Complainant's Exhibit 1
- 8 was admitted into evidence at this
- 9 time.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: Do you have any questions for
- 11 Ms. Heeb, Ms. Huizenga?
- MS. HUIZENGA: Yes, I do.
- Before that, may I make a motion for a
- 14 directed verdict?
- 15 JUDGE ALBERS: You can.
- MS. HUIZENGA: Okay. MidAmerican moves for a
- directed verdict for the reason that according to
- the formal complaint, the complainant is alleging
- that at the service address, 1605-44th Street, Rock
- Island, Illinois, MidAmerican is not allowing her
- service without payment of any deposit or arrearage.
- 22 That is true.

1	However, complainant does not deny that
2	she used the gas and electric service and incurred
3	her current debt to MidAmerican of \$1,608.69 and
4	\$259.93 for a total of \$1,868.62.

2.1

Further, the only statute, rule, or tariff the complainant states is involved in this matter is 83 Illinois Administrative Code 280.50(a) which gives a utility the option of requiring an applicant for service to pay past due debts before initiating service.

In complainant's testimony, she now says that she is living at the address 1605-44th Street, Rock Island. That is the place she says she wanted service at in her complaint.

MidAmerican's computer system picked up the current account number from the tape sent by Project NOW. Ms. Heeb received bills each month before this position information to apprise her there was a problem. MidAmerican did nothing inconsistent with statute, rule, or tariff.

Ms. Heeb does not dispute the service was used and not paid for.

1	MidAmerican moves that a directed verdict
2	be entered against complainant.
3	JUDGE ALBERS: I think before we go any
4	further, I would point out that my ability to, my
5	authority rather to render a favorable judgment on
6	that motion is limited. I think only the Commission
7	has the ability to finally dispose of this matter.
8	I will take those comments under
9	advisement though, but for now I'm not going to rule
L 0	on that.
11	But just so that the complainant has an
L2	opportunity to respond, would you care to do that
13	now, Mr. McCoy, or would you rather make a closing
L 4	argument of sorts upon completion of all the
L 5	testimony being heard?

MR. McCOY: Your Honor, I'll make just very brief comments.

First, I think you're right, that there's no authority for a directed verdict or there's no possibility of a directed verdict as this is a hearing without jury.

I think the information, the evidence

- 1 taken in light most favorable to the complainant
- 2 shows that MidAmerican accepted payment from Project
- NOW of 1,609 to pay a past due bill.
- 4 MidAmerican misapplied that payment to a
- 5 new account without notifying Ms. Heeb of the
- 6 creation of a new account in reliance on the
- 7 agreement, I'd even say contract between Project NOW
- 8 and MidAmerican.
- 9 Ms. Heeb did not make payment on the old
- 10 account. It did not seek assistance in the year
- 11 2002 from the Heebs to pay any alleged old debt
- 12 because of not receiving any notification that there
- was an old debt, and MidAmerican's error is the
- 14 reason there continues to be an old debt, so, in
- fact, there is not an old debt.
- And by insisting that she pay this old
- debt before giving service, they are in violation of
- the administrative rules.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I might have some
- questions about some of that later.
- 21 At this time however, Ms. Huizenga, if
- 22 you'd like to commence with your questioning of

- 1 Ms. Heeb, please proceed.
- MS. HUIZENGA: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. HUIZENGA:
- 5 Q. On Page 2 of your testimony, you stated that in
- the fall of 2001, utility service was uninterrupted,
- 7 and it remained in your name.
- 8 You had, in fact, been living there since
- 9 1997 at least, had you not?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. When the monthly bill comes from MidAmerican,
- do you read it?
- 13 A. I didn't at the time, and I'm going to tell
- 14 you why. I had brain surgery, and I was in Iowa
- 15 City for quite some time. I also was under heavy
- medication, and my husband would read the bill or my
- daughter would read the bill, and it got paid or
- 18 whatever. I did not personally, no.
- 19 Q. So how would you know that utility service
- remained uninterrupted in your name if you were not
- 21 reading the bill?
- 22 A. Because it was in my name or my husband's

- 1 name.
- 2 Q. How do you know that if you were not reading
- 3 the bill?
- A. Because that's what I assumed.
- 5 Q. And you are aware that on bills, the name of
- the customer does appear?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. Okay. You have read Ms. DeSalvo's testimony?
- 9 A. Pardon?
- 10 Q. Have you read Ms. DeSalvo's testimony?
- 11 A. Yes, I have.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- The debt for \$1,608.69 was incurred on
- your account that was disconnected for nonpayment on
- 15 September 26, 2000 according to that testimony.
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. And you agree with that, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. Okay. Another individual requested service
- 20 October 3, 2000.
- 21 Are you aware that was your daughter,
- 22 Brenda Unger?

- 1 A. My daughter was living with me. She was
- 2 taking care of me.
- 3 Q. Are you aware that the service was in her name
- 4 beginning October 3, 2000?
- 5 A. No, ma'am, I was not.
- Q. And it remained in her name until September 19,
- 7 2001 when it was disconnected for nonpayment.
- 8 A. Okay. She was still living with me until
- 9 even two months after that.
- 10 Q. Did you review any of the bills coming to your
- residence in that period October 3, 2000 to
- 12 September 19, 2001?
- 13 A. I honestly cannot tell you. I know I did see
- some of the bills, yes. I don't know all of them.
- 15 Q. And do you recall whose name was on the bill,
- who the customer listed on the bill was?
- 17 A. I do not recall. I'm sorry.
- Okay. But you stated in your testimony that
- 19 you believe service was uninterrupted in your name
- 20 although MidAmerican records show that it was Brenda
- 21 Unger?
- MR. McCOY: I'm going to object.

- JUDGE ALBERS: On what grounds?
- MR. McCOY: These records have not been
- 3 admitted.
- I believe under our pretrial conferences,
- 5 any exhibits were to be submitted beforehand, and
- 6 these records showing that service was in the name
- of Ms. Unger have not been submitted to the
- 8 Commission.
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: I'm afraid I'm at a
- 10 disadvantage given the geography.
- 11 MS. HUIZENGA: MidAmerican has the records in
- front of them and would be happy to file them as a
- late filed exhibit.
- I am handing copies of these records to
- the complainant as we speak.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Typically, I would prefer to
- 17 have seen those prior to today.
- What type of records exactly are they?
- 19 MS. HUIZENGA: These records show that service
- was in Brenda Unger's name between October 3, 2000
- 21 and September 19, 2001.
- MR. McCOY: Your Honor, I will still object.

1	MidAmerican is aware that it was supposed
2	to submit exhibits ahead of time. It did not submit
3	testimony until quite late under the schedule that
4	was agreed I admit, but the testimony did not make
5	any mention of Ms. Unger.
6	These records do not appear to be
7	legitimate business records but records prepared in
8	anticipation of this hearing.
9	MS. HUIZENGA: MidAmerican notes that these are
L 0	printouts of MidAmerican's records on its computer
L 1	system that note all of the contacts with a
L 2	particular customer for a particular account.
L 3	These records were not prepared in
L 4	anticipation of litigation.
L 5	JUDGE ALBERS: Well, again, I would have
L 6	preferred to have seen these prior to hearing about
L 7	them today.
L 8	What's the volume of material we're
L 9	talking about here?
2 0	MS. HUIZENGA: These simply back up There's

about -- I don't know. I have an individual

counting the pages right now. There are six pages

21

22

- that merely backs up the testimony made previously
- by Ms. DeSalvo stating that another individual had
- 3 the service during that period. It's merely
- 4 cumulative evidence, Your Honor.
- 5 MR. McCOY: Your Honor, the objection is not
- 6 the length of this but the late submission of this,
- 7 the surprise factor that this is the first we've
- 8 even heard that MidAmerican is alleging that the
- 9 records were in Ms. Unger's name.
- 10 MS. HUIZENGA: It's in the testimony. It's in
- 11 the testimony of Ms. DeSalvo in 3, the first full
- 12 question.
- JUDGE ALBERS: You said Page 3? You're
- 14 breaking up again.
- MS. HUIZENGA: It's Ms. DeSalvo's testimony,
- Page 3. The first full question and answer states
- 17 that another individual had service.
- 18 JUDGE ALBERS: I think in light of not having
- received this ahead of time, I'm going to sustain
- the objection.
- 21 Q. BY MS. HUIZENGA: However, having seen
- Ms. DeSalvo's testimony and noting that Ms. Unger

- 1 was at your address, you now understand that there
- is a question as to whether service was
- 3 uninterrupted in your name?
- A. I don't understand what you're saying. Say
- 5 it again, please.
- 6 MS. HUIZENGA: I'm sorry. Could I have this
- 7 read back?
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. The court reporter will
- 9 read it back.
- 10 (Whereupon the reporter read back
- 11 the last question.)
- 12 A. No, I was not aware of that. I thought it
- was in my husband's name.
- Q. Okay. You stated you received a 30-day
- extension on your utility services for medical
- hardship in the fall of 2001.
- 17 If I were to tell you that MidAmerican's
- 18 records show you received a 30-day medical on your
- account in the fall of 2002 rather than 2001, is
- that possible?
- 21 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. Okay. When you say in your testimony that in

- the fall of 2001 you did not receive bills for the
- new account or the old account, if, in fact, there
- 3 was another person in there prior to September 19th,
- 4 would that explain to you why you did not receive
- 5 the bill if they were, in fact, addressed to Brenda
- 6 Unger?
- 7 A. Very possible.
- 8 Q. Okay. If you did not receive bills on the new
- 9 account, the account starting in the fall of 2001 as
- opposed to the account that ended in 2000, did you
- also not receive a disconnect notice in early
- November of 2001?
- 13 A. I'm not sure. I'm sorry. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. In addition to LIHEAP payments of 1,609
- 15 received on November 16, MidAmerican also received a
- 16 payment of 256.29 on November 20, 2001.
- The 256.29 is exactly the total for the
- charges for gas and electric service on your new
- 19 account for the first two months.
- If you had not received those bills, how
- 21 did you know to pay that amount?
- 22 A. I don't know. My husband paid them probably.

- 1 I do not know.
- Q. Okay. So in other words, you do not know if it
- 3 was a new account or an uninterrupted account?
- A. I assumed, and I'm going to say I assumed
- 5 when you get power in your name, MidAmerican
- 6 researches back to see if there's an old bill
- 7 before you get the power if I am a new customer.
- 8 Apparently when the payment went through,
- 9 it did not pay my old bill, but yet I received
- service in my name, so apparently it was not
- 11 researched to find out that I owed an old bill for
- the money to be applied to that old bill.
- 13 Q. If you received a payment for the old bill in
- the amount of 1,608.69, how did Project NOW know to
- pay that if MidAmerican had not researched and
- provided them that information?
- 17 **A.** That is true, but it did not go to the old
- 18 bill. I was created a new bill with credit.
- 19 O. That is true, and MidAmerican has never denied
- 20 that.
- 21 MidAmerican states and has sent its
- 22 exhibit showing that it was Project NOW that

- directed it be applied to your new bill.
- 2 Do you have any information today that
- 3 that is not so?
- 4 A. I did not even know I had a new account until
- 5 after the lights was turned on into my name, and
- 6 then I didn't look at the account number. I just
- 7 assumed that MidAmerican was paying for the old
- 8 account to give me a new account.
- 9 \mathbf{Q} . So that on the new account, you did not know of
- the payment of 256.29 because your husband did it?
- 11 A. I'm not sure. I assume he did it but I don't
- 12 even remember.
- 13 Q. Okay. You don't recall.
- 14 A. No. What I'm saying is my husband is the one
- that was paying the bills, not me, okay? So what
- I'm saying is if a new account was established in my
- name, okay, and he paid that bill, I'm just assuming
- that he assumed the way I did; that the old bill was
- 19 already paid. We wanted to keep current on the new
- 20 bill.
- 21 Q. In the last four or five years, approximately
- how many times have you or your husband applied for

- 1 and received energy assistance?
- 2 A. Quite a few.
- 3 Q. How do you know normally that energy assistance
- 4 has been sent to MidAmerican on your behalf?
- 5 A. They usually tell us. We call or we go down.
- 6 Q. Do you ever receive communications through the
- 7 mail?
- 8 A. Sometimes; not all the time.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you ever see it posted on the
- 10 MidAmerican bill?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Is it normally on the very first bill you
- receive when you're initiating service?
- 14 A. I cannot remember, sorry.
- On the first bill you received following the
- Project NOW payment of 1,609, there would have been
- 17 the statement, "An energy assistance payment has
- been applied to your bill" and a notation of the
- 19 total amount of payments received since the last
- 20 billing.
- 21 Why did you not question that very large
- 22 payment?

- 1 A. I had ten people in my home. I was brought
- those people by the police. I just assumed I was
- 3 getting help for those people as well as my own
- 4 family.
- We had at one time 14 people in the house,
- 6 okay?
- Now, when 1,600 was paid by Project NOW,
- 8 okay, paid the old bill to create me I assumed a new
- 9 bill, I saw the credit on the bill.
- 10 Q. Have you ever in getting energy assistance
- payments gotten such a large payment ahead of time?
- 12 A. No, because I did not have that many people
- in the home.
- Q. Do your payments from Project NOW generally
- vary depending on the number of people in the
- 16 residence receiving service?
- 17 **A.** Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q. And how do they -- what is the formula that
- they use?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. You stated you moved from your residence
- 22 1811-12th Street, Rock Island in November 2002

- 1 requesting that MidAmerican terminate your service
- 2 that month?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. And you did not request service in your own
- 5 name when you moved from that residence, correct, at
- 6 that time?
- 7 A. No, ma'am, I did not.
- 8 Q. To your knowledge, do agencies give grants when
- 9 grants are not needed to either maintain current
- service or initiate new service?
- 11 A. I'm not sure.
- 12 Q. The account for which you owed 1,608.69
- terminated on September 26, 2000, and the final bill
- was mailed to you October 3, 2000.
- 15 Knowing that you had the debt at that
- time, why did you not turn to Project NOW for
- assistance in that fall season?
- 18 A. For the simple reason my husband was taking
- 19 care of the thing. I was under a lot of medication,
- and I still am, but my problem is I didn't handle
- that part of the bill, okay? I don't know.
- 22 Q. Early in 2000 you say you would have applied

- for assistance as opposed to the fall of 2000.
- 2 Are you aware that your husband did go to
- 3 Project NOW for assistance in the fall of 2002 and
- 4 received a payment?
- 5 A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- 6 MS. HUIZENGA: That's all I have.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.
- I have a few questions of my own, and
- 9 then, Mr. McCoy, I'll give you an opportunity for
- 10 redirect.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY JUDGE ALBERS:
- 13 Q. Ms. Heeb, just so I'm clear, because you had
- service at the same address, you just assumed that
- it was on the same account?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, that's what I assumed. I assumed
- the old bill was paid and it was starting a new bill
- because there was no reason I had for not paying the
- 19 bill.
- 20 If we would have known that it was a new
- account and the old bill was paid, we would have
- certainly paid it. I have no problem paying my

- 1 bills, but I did not realize -- when I got a bill
- from MidAmerican Energy, it said "do not pay," and
- 3 it said "this is not a bill."
- 4 Q. Okay. And you indicated earlier that for a
- 5 while your husband and perhaps even your daughter
- 6 were taking care of bill payments?
- 7 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, when
- 9 the bills came and said do not pay -- actually, let
- me rephrase that.
- 11 When the statements from MidAmerican came
- and said do not pay, this is not a bill because
- there was a credit on the account, did you think it
- 14 was odd that you had that much of a credit?
- 15 A. Not at the time, no, because I thought if
- they paid the old bill which was 1,600, because it
- was so long ago, the old bill was, that this was a
- credit for the new year, for the fall of the new
- 19 year.
- 20 So that's what I assumed because we had so
- 21 many people in the house at the time, and I did not
- know if the other people had applied. I didn't know

- 1 what was going on to find out.
- And, yes, it was my mistake not knowing,
- 3 but I just assumed that when you get electric
- 4 service turned on in your name that the old bill has
- 5 got to be paid, and that's what I assumed.
- 6 Q. Ms. Heeb, is it your position then that you
- 7 should not have to pay any of the old bill ever?
- 8 A. I'm not saying that at all, Your Honor. I
- 9 don't mind paying my bill. I have not got \$1,600
- right today or even next week to pay this bill.
- I even made suggestions that if they would
- 12 put this bill into a long period of time, whatever
- Project NOW does not pay I'd be willing to pay. I
- have no problem paying my bills.
- Okay. I just wanted to make sure I understood
- 16 what your position was.
- 17 So it's your hope that MidAmerican would
- provide you with electric and gas service and allow
- 19 you to pay the amounts due on both accounts over a
- 20 period of time?
- 21 A. That's what I would like. My husband makes
- 22 \$1,200 a month. He's on disability, Your Honor.

- 1 We're staying with my granddaughter. Well, kind of
- we're there and we're helping each other, but we're
- 3 going to be moving very shortly.
- And when I move, it's just going to be
- just me and my husband and I've got a handicapped
- daughter, and when I move, I won't be able to get
- any power whatsoever, so I don't know what to do.
- 8 Q. And you're requesting both electric and gas
- 9 service?
- 10 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. And the past due amounts cover both
- 12 electric and gas service?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I think that's all the
- 15 questions I have for you, ma'am.
- Mr. McCoy, do you have any redirect?
- MR. McCOY: Yes, I do just to clarify some
- 18 simple matters.
- 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. McCOY:
- 21 Q. You understood that MidAmerican agreed to
- accept the 1,609 payment for payment in full of the

- 1 past due old account, is that true?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. That payment was made, and MidAmerican accepted
- 4 1,609?
- 5 A. Yes, they did.
- 6 Q. Okay. You didn't think you could get a new
- 7 account without the past due bill being paid from
- 8 your prior experience with MidAmerican?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So you believe that the old account had to be
- paid in full for you to get a new account?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And by the very fact that you were getting
- 14 service, you believed that the old account had been
- 15 paid?
- 16 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And you did not receive any notification that
- it had not been paid by MidAmerican until May 2003
- when they said they couldn't give you services?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. So MidAmerican accepted payments of money for
- the old account and did not tell you you still owed

- 1 money on the old account?
- 2 **A.** No, sir.
- 3 Q. And because you did not know that there was an
- 4 outstanding bill, you did not apply for assistance
- 5 to pay for this outstanding bill?
- 6 **A.** No.
- 7 Q. Now, Judge Albers asked you whether you're
- 8 willing to pay this bill, is that right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And you were willing to try to make a deal?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. But you've been told that MidAmerican will
- accept nothing less than full payment of the old and
- 14 new account?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Okay. And that's impossible for you?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And because that is impossible and because
- 19 MidAmerican misapplied funds, you are asking that --
- MS. HUIZENGA: MidAmerican objects.
- 21 For the second or third time you've used
- 22 the term that MidAmerican misapplied. That's a

- 1 mischaracterization of what happened, and you've
- 2 seen the exhibit that shows it.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Well, without trying
- 4 to determine who misapplied what, can you use a
- 5 different word, Mr. McCoy?
- 6 MR. McCOY: Okay.
- 7 Q. You are asking -- okay. Because you were
- 8 unable to pay this entire amount and because the
- 9 Project NOW funds were not applied to your old
- 10 account, you are asking this court to waive your
- 11 requirement to pay the past due account, is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 **A.** Well, either waive it or make arrangements
- that I can pay on it; I don't care.
- Okay. So you would request that they either
- waive it entirely or be ordered to make
- 17 arrangements?
- 18 A. That I can afford, yes.
- MR. McCOY: Okay. Nothing further.
- MS. HUIZENGA: MidAmerican has some recross,
- Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Go ahead.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MS. HUIZENGA:
- 3 Q. You had stated in response to Judge Albers'
- 4 questions that you have no problem paying your
- 5 bills?

1

- A. No, ma'am.
- 7 Q. You are aware on your latest account that two
- 8 times you defaulted on payment agreements with
- 9 MidAmerican?
- 10 A. Because my medicine comes before my light
- 11 bill. I'm sorry but I have to have medication, and
- I don't have Medicaid. I don't have Medicare.
- 13 Q. And you are also aware that on the latest
- 14 account with MidAmerican, we received three payments
- that were not energy assistance payments that would
- have been payments from your family in that whole
- 17 period?
- 18 A. Excuse me. I didn't understand the question.
- 19 Q. Okay. You are aware that in your latest
- 20 account, we received three payments on behalf of the
- Heebs that were not energy assistance payments; in
- other words, they would have been payments, I

- 1 assume, from your family?
- 2 A. That's very possible.
- 3 Q. All right. And these problems you have
- 4 suggested are because your husband was dealing with
- 5 some of these matters? Do I understand that
- 6 correctly?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. Why should MidAmerican believe in the future
- 9 that you will deal with the problems and you will be
- 10 paying the bills?
- 11 A. For the very simple reason I'm going to make
- it my business to take care of them.
- MS. HUIZENGA: Thank you.
- 14 JUDGE ALBERS: Ms. Heeb, one or two more
- questions from myself just so I'm clear on
- something.
- 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY JUDGE ALBERS:
- 19 Q. You indicated at one point earlier that you
- didn't think there were two accounts because you're
- still at the same address and just assume that that
- 22 would be the same account for the same address, is

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, that's the way it was.
- 3 Q. Okay. And I think in response to a couple of
- 4 Mr. McCoy's questions a minute ago you indicated
- 5 that when you got your new account, you assumed it
- 6 was because everything was paid off on the old
- 7 account, is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Now, to me, those two statements make me want
- 10 to ask if you thought you had the same account all
- along, why would you have stopped to ask yourself or
- to assume for yourself that the first account had
- 13 already been paid?
- 14 A. Say that again, Your Honor.
- 15 Q. Well, I'll try to rephrase that.
- 16 At one point you said you thought you had
- the same account all along, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. But then just a little bit ago I thought I
- heard you saying that you assumed your first account
- 21 had been paid off since you were able to get a
- 22 second account?

- 1 A. No, I didn't mean it that way.
- I assumed the first account was paid
- 3 off... Okay. Let me explain it this way.
- If I have one account and I'm in arrearage
- 5 and it's been shut off for that reason, because I am
- in arrearage, and someone comes along and pays it
- for that account, I just assume the same number
- 8 would be for the account that would be fresh.
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I just wanted to make
- 10 sure I understood.
- 11 Okay. I don't have any other questions
- 12 then. Thank you, Ms. Heeb.
- MR. McCOY: I've got redirect based on that.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I'm sorry?
- MR. McCOY: I have a redirect just based on
- 16 your last question, Your Honor.
- 17 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, at some point I have to
- 18 cut it off.
- MR. McCOY: Okay, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you,
- Ms. Heeb.
- 22 Did you have anything else to add though

- in a non-testimony sense, Mr. McCoy, before we turn
- 2 to MidAmerican's case?
- 3 MR. McCOY: Your Honor, I guess I'll save it
- 4 for argument.
- 5 JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine.
- And, Ms. Huizenga, if you'd like to call
- 7 your witness.
- 8 MR. McCOY: Thank you, Your Honor. MidAmerican
- 9 calls Julie DeSalvo.

10

- JULIE A. DeSALVO
- 12 called as a witness herein, on behalf of MidAmerican
- Energy Company, having been first duly sworn on her
- oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MS. HUIZENGA:
- 17 Q. Ms. DeSalvo, state your name and business
- 18 address for the record.
- 19 A. Julie A. DeSalvo, address 106 East Second
- Street, Davenport, Iowa 52801.
- Q. Ms. DeSalvo, by whom are you employed and in
- 22 what position?

- 1 A. MidAmerican Energy, and I'm a credit
- 2 supervisor.
- 3 Q. Do you have before you a copy of an eight-page
- 4 document entitled "Illinois Commerce Commission,
- 5 Docket No. 03-0513, Direct Testimony of Julie
- 6 DeSalvo" which we'll submit as MidAmerican Exhibit
- 7 1?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. Is this the direct testimony prepared by you
- 10 for this proceeding?
- 11 **A.** It is.
- 12 Q. Do you have any additions or corrections to
- this direct testimony?
- 14 **A.** I do.
- On Page 3, the fifth line of the answer to
- the question that starts "How does MidAmerican
- 17 receive payments from Project NOW," that should be
- changed to a date of November 15, 2001. It
- currently reads November 15, 2002.
- 20 Q. Do you have any other additions or corrections
- 21 to your direct testimony?
- 22 A. Yes, one more.

- On Page 4, the third line of the last
 answer reads again November 2002 and that should
- 4 O. With these corrections --

read November 2001.

3

- 5 MR. McCOY: Your Honor, at this point I'm going 6 to object.
- Ms. DeSalvo is reading her responses to

 questions it appears. I have no problem with the

 corrections because I want the correct testimony and

 correct exhibit to be entered, but if she's going to

 be reading her responses, I would object.
- THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I wrote my answers

 down. When I saw my testimony, I saw the

 corrections needed to be made, and I wanted to make

 sure that I had the exact lines that needed to be

 corrected so I simply wrote them down on my

 statement.
- JUDGE ALBERS: At this point in time, I don't
 think the corrections in and of themselves are
 substantive testimony, so I'm not going to worry
 about whether the witness has gotten a couple of
 notes as to the exact location of corrections

- 1 written down, but, you know, if you have reason to
- 2 object further at a later time, please do so,
- 3 Mr. McCoy.
- 4 MR. McCOY: Thank you.
- 5 Q. BY MS. HUIZENGA: With these corrections, is
- 6 your direct testimony true and correct to the best
- 7 of your knowledge and belief?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. If I asked you these questions today, would
- your answers under oath be the same?
- 11 A. Yes, they would.
- 12 Q. On Page 3 of your direct testimony, you refer
- to Attachment No. 1.
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. Ms. DeSalvo, do you have before you a copy of a
- document entitled Attachment No. 1 consisting of two
- 17 pages?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 Q. Is this a copy of the document you referred to
- in your testimony as Attachment 1?
- 21 **A.** It is.
- 22 Q. Can you explain what it is, please?

- 1 A. It is a file received from Project NOW that
- 2 advises MidAmerican of customers' names, customers'
- 3 account numbers, customers' social security numbers,
- 4 and the amount that the grant that Project NOW is
- 5 providing for that customer.
- 6 Q. Why are there areas on that Attachment 1 that
- 7 are whited out?
- 8 A. Because those are names of other customers,
- 9 and that information has to remain confidential.
- 10 Q. Do you have any additions or corrections to
- 11 make to this exhibit?
- 12 **A.** No.
- 13 Q. Other than the whited out section, is the copy
- of the Project NOW printout a true and correct copy
- as labeled Exhibit 1 to the best of your knowledge
- 16 and belief?
- 17 A. It is to the best of my knowledge.
- 18 MS. HUIZENGA: Your Honor, MidAmerican would
- 19 move for the admission of MidAmerican Exhibit No. 1
- consisting of eight pages plus Attachment No. 1.
- MR. McCOY: No objection.
- 22 JUDGE ALBERS: Then MidAmerican Exhibit 1 is

- 1 admitted.
- 2 (Whereupon MidAmerican Exhibit 1 was
- 3 admitted into evidence at this
- 4 time.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: And in light of the corrections,
- 6 Ms. Huizenga, I believe is it your intention to
- 7 submit this via e-docket at a later time?
- 8 MS. HUIZENGA: Yes, Your Honor, we will submit
- 9 it later today.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Very good.
- Is your witness tendered for cross?
- MS. HUIZENGA: Yes. MidAmerican tenders its
- witness for cross-examination.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. McCoy, do you have any
- 15 questions for Ms. DeSalvo?
- MR. McCOY: Yes. I have just a few.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. McCOY:
- 19 Q. Ms. DeSalvo, the attachment to the exhibit
- consisting of two pages, I see, or I guess I just
- 21 need help interpreting it.
- I see the account number which lists the

- 1 new account 60058 ending.
- 2 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. The full account number is 97490-60058.
- This is a document prepared by Project NOW
- 5 to show what LIHEAP payments are to be applied?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. It shows the amount to the right of 750?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 **Q.** Not the 1,609 payment?
- 10 A. There are several pages to the document that
- lists the different grant amounts.
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, I see another, a second page,
- 13 \$622.
- 14 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. And I guess 237, so they need to be added
- 16 together?
- 17 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. Okay. So it was made in several payments?
- 19 **A.** Right.
- 20 Q. In the name of Joseph Heeb, not Ruth Heeb?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. You heard Ms. Heeb's testimony that you

- believe MidAmerican does not start a new account
- when money is owed on an old account?
- 3 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. So in this case, the account number ending
- 5 60058, it's your position that MidAmerican broke
- from that policy and started a new account while
- 7 1,609 was still owed on the old account?
- 8 A. That's not correct.
- 9 Project NOW had called MidAmerican Energy
- on September 18th and advised that they would be
- providing the 1,608.69 to take care of the Heebs'
- 12 prior debt.
- 13 At that point then the new service was
- established for them because Project NOW had made a
- promise to us of this payment.
- 16 Illinois funds are considered quaranteed
- because the federal government backs that funding,
- so we assume or we take that statement from Project
- 19 NOW as guaranteed money coming in.
- So since we were guaranteed that they were
- going to pay the entire past due debt, we did grant
- the Heebs new service or a new account at that time.

- Okay. So you granted a new account with the
- 2 understanding that a payment of 1,609 was past due?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And although Project NOW labeled what accounts
- 5 the money was to go to in this payment, the money
- 6 was accepted with the understanding it was to be
- 7 applied toward the old debt?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Because the money was applied to the new
- 10 account and that 1,609 went unpaid?
- 11 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. But Ms. Heeb was not billed for that 1,609.
- 13 She did not receive monthly bills for the 1,609 or
- reminder bills that she still owed 1,609?
- 15 A. Once an account finals in our system, when we
- get the final read on that account, the customer is
- mailed a final bill.
- 18 If that final bill is not paid by the
- final bill due date, 15 days later the system
- generates a final bill reminder letter again stating
- the amount of the debt and the address where the
- debt was incurred.

- If at that time the account is still not
- 2 paid, then the account is what we call written off
- in our system. That occurs at day 120.
- 4 Q. Okay. You do not know personally whether
- 5 Ms. Heeb received one of these final bill
- 6 notifications?
- 7 A. There were no notices.
- If there's an intent to deliver the mail
- 9 and the postal master returns it to us because of a
- 10 bad address or the customer moved, there's a note
- 11 put on that account, and there were no notes on
- either of the Heeb's accounts for any return mail
- issues, so the assumption is that the bills were
- 14 received at the address they were mailed to.
- Okay. I guess the final bill was mailed out,
- it's your testimony, back in September of 2000
- 17 actually.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 O. So Ms. Heeb would not have received a final
- 20 bill?
- 21 **A.** Oh, no.
- 22 O. Okay. So she would have understood that

- 1 Project NOW money was going toward that 1,609 debt?
- 2 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. And she would not have received any new final
- 4 bill showing that that had not been paid as
- 5 understood?
- A. No, but a lot of times it lapsed.
- 7 That final bill was mailed September 26th
- 8 of 2000, and the Project NOW promises came through
- on September 19th or September 18th of 2001.
- 10 Q. I understand.
- 11 A. So you're talking about a year lapse in time
- 12 at which point that debt has been, as I said
- earlier, written off in our system.
- 14 The customer had not responded to their
- final bill. The customer did not respond to the
- final bill reminder letter, so the debt was charged
- 17 off.
- Okay. But after the LIHEAP payment was
- 19 accepted, Ms. Heeb would have no way of knowing that
- the 1,609 debt was still out there until she was
- 21 notified in May 2003?
- 22 A. She would have known that when they called in

- 1 to get service which they did. It's my
- 2 understanding I believe Joseph called in to ask for
- 3 service. He was advised of the debt. He went to
- 4 Project NOW and also made a payment of \$465 towards
- 5 that.
- The original final bill amount was 2,000,
- 7 and he made the payment --
- 8 Q. That 465 was before the LIHEAP payment though.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 How LIHEAP payments work or Project NOW
- promises, they'll say the customer pays X amount and
- then we will contribute our portion.
- So that's what occurred here is Mr. Heeb
- made a \$465 payment.
- 15 Q. He made a payment. He got the LIHEAP payment?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 O. But he would not have known that that bill was
- still out there until denied again, and I guess that
- happened, according to Ms. Heeb's testimony, back in
- 20 May of this year.
- 21 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. So after that LIHEAP payment was made, there

- 1 had been no notification from MidAmerican that 1,609
- 2 was still owed?
- 3 A. No, there would not have been other than the
- 4 notes indicated on the Heebs' account that the
- 5 energy assistance money had been applied there.
- 6 Q. But that note would just say that energy
- 7 assistance had been applied?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. It would not have gone further and said energy
- 10 assistance had been applied but there's still an
- 11 outstanding 1,609 debt?
- 12 A. No, it would not say that.
- Okay. I note on Page 4 of your testimony that
- 14 you state that the Heebs have had experience with
- the grants in the past. They have received seven
- payments from local assistance agencies in the past.
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. I guess the point --
- 19 A. Yeah, for the account that has a remaining
- balance of the 1,600, yes.
- Q. Okay. MidAmerican, of course, does many
- thousands of these transactions, if not millions,

- 1 taking the assistance payments and applying them?
- 2 A. Yes, and it's an automated process.
- 3 The file that you were provided with in my
- 4 testimony is an electronic. They follow up with the
- 5 paper file, but it's all an electronic process.
- It's a tape that Project NOW provides to MidAmerican
- 7 Energy. MidAmerican Energy downloads that
- 8 information into our customer information system.
- 9 It's all automated.
- MR. McCOY: Okay. Nothing further.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Ms. DeSalvo, just a few
- 12 questions to make sure I'm clear.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY JUDGE ALBERS:
- 15 Q. Did you indicate a little bit ago that after a
- 16 customer's account is closed and the last bill is
- 17 sent -- like in this case I think the last bill was
- 18 sent October 3rd on the old account, is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 **A**. Yes.
- 21 Q. If you don't receive payment by the bill due
- date, 15 days after the due date a reminder is sent

- 1 to the customer?
- 2 A. That's correct. We call it a final bill
- 3 reminder letter.
- 4 Q. And how many days after that do they have to
- 5 pay that?
- A. It really doesn't tie a due date to them. It
- 7 advises that the account will be charged off if they
- 8 don't take care of the debt and it could affect
- 9 their credit.
- 10 Q. So when you say charged off, does that mean --
- well, could you tell me what that means?
- 12 A. Basically, it becomes a bad debt in our
- system, and we have to wait for the customer to pay
- or write off the balance.
- 15 Q. And so at some point then, you turn it over to
- a credit agency or credit collection agency?
- 17 A. Yes. That would be at day 60.
- 18 Q. Is that day 60 from the original due date of
- 19 the last bill?
- 20 A. From the day the bill is mailed is day 1, and
- then day 60 is the day that it would be turned to an
- 22 agency.

- Okay. And I thought at one point you said
- 2 something about 120 days.
- 3 A. At day 120 is the point that we write it off
- in our system; again, day one being the day that
- 5 bill is mailed.
- 6 Q. Okay. So at day 60, you turn it over to an
- 7 agency?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And at day 120, if you still don't have
- payment, you just write it off as bad debt?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. And after that, you don't make any further
- 13 attempt to contact that customer?
- 14 A. MidAmerican does not, no, unless the customer
- 15 contacts us for new service.
- 16 Q. At that point in time, your system remembers
- 17 not having received payment of the last account and
- 18 flags the new account so to speak?
- 19 A. Right, just as occurred here with the Heebs.
- When a customer calls for new service and we key in
- either their name or their social security number if
- the customer chooses to provide it, that's how they

- 1 would find the debt which they did here, and that's
- when Mr. Heeb went to Project NOW to receive
- 3 assistance in paying that prior debt.
- 4 Q. And that amount from LIHEAP of \$1,609 was
- 5 received roughly a year after the final bill was
- 6 sent on the old account?
- 7 A. That's correct, because that's the first time
- 8 after that account was finaled that the Heebs had
- 9 applied for new service.
- 10 Q. Okay. Just taking some notes here.
- Now, on both of these accounts, the
- 12 balances due on both of them, is MEC assessing
- interest on either of either one of them?
- 14 A. No, not while it's finaled or active.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. Say that again.
- 16 A. When an account is finaled, there is no
- interest applied to it.
- When an account is active, late payment
- charges are assessed as provided by Illinois Code
- 20 280.
- Q. Okay. Now, in the second account, that
- 22 account also is closed, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And that was closed November of 2002?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And the balance due on that is 259.93?
- 5 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 6 Q. And MidAmerican seeks payment in full on both
- 7 accounts before it will initiate service on a third
- 8 account?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Ms. Huizenga, do you
- 11 have any redirect?
- MS. HUIZENGA: Just a couple quick questions.
- 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. HUIZENGA:
- 15 Q. When an account, a finaled account has been
- sent to a collection agency, MidAmerican does not
- then necessarily pull it back at day 120, does it?
- 18 A. No. The account remains with the collection
- 19 agency. It's simply written off in our system at
- that point of an inactive receivable versus an
- 21 active receivable.
- 22 Q. You had been asked earlier by Mr. McCoy whether

- 1 MidAmerican accepted payment from Project NOW with
- 2 the understanding it was to be applied to the old
- 3 debt.
- To your knowledge, is there a way normally
- for an individual to review every single payment we
- 6 get from agencies to make sure that the agency has
- 7 given us the correct account number?
- 8 A. No, no. As Mr. McCoy indicated, there are
- 9 thousands of these files or customer information
- that comes into our system, and unfortunately, I do
- 11 not have the manpower to review all of their
- 12 electronic files for accuracy. We're, you know,
- assuming Project NOW is providing us accurate
- information.
- MS. HUIZENGA: That's all I have, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Actually, I thought of two other
- questions, and if you have any redirect questions on
- those, that's fine.
- 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY JUDGE ALBERS:
- 21 Q. One, has this type of problem happened before,
- Ms. DeSalvo?

- 1 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 2 Q. Okay. And do you know how LIHEAP gets the
- account number to give to you or rather Project NOW?
- 4 A. Project NOW will call in. We communicate
- 5 with them daily. They may call in and ask for
- 6 account information. They can either call
- 7 MidAmerican Energy or when the customer enters
- 8 their office, the customer can show them a bill or a
- 9 disconnect notice and say here's my account
- 10 information.
- 11 Q. And do you know what happened in this instance?
- 12 **A.** I do not.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. Did you have
- any redirect on those two questions?
- MS. HUIZENGA: No, Your Honor.
- Do you have any recross?
- MR. McCOY: No recross, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.
- 19 At this point in time, let me ask the
- 20 parties this. Do either of you feel the need for
- any type of legal brief or would you be comfortable
- 22 making a closing statement today and marking the

- 1 record heard and taken?
- 2 MR. McCOY: I'd be comfortable with a closing
- 3 statement, Your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: I'm not suggesting you have to
- 5 go that route. I just didn't know if either of you
- 6 saw the need for briefs. Sometimes parties waive
- 7 the filing of briefs.
- MS. HUIZENGA: Your Honor, may I talk to my
- 9 client just a second?
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: Certainly.
- 11 Why don't we go off the record then for a
- minute.
- 13 (Whereupon an off-the-record
- 14 discussion transpired at this
- 15 time.)
- 16 JUDGE ALBERS: We're back on the record.
- 17 MS. HUIZENGA: MidAmerican would be satisfied
- with a closing statement today, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Would the complainant
- like the opportunity to close then?
- MR. McCOY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I mean did you want to be

- 1 last to go?
- 2 MR. McCOY: I guess it doesn't matter to me
- 3 either way. I can go first or last.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: I didn't know since you have the
- 5 burden in this case if you'd prefer to have the last
- 6 word.
- 7 MR. McCOY: I guess I'll go last then, Your
- 8 Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 10 Ms. Huizenga?
- MS. HUIZENGA: Sure.
- 12 Your Honor, essentially what I stated
- previously I would like to reiterate; that at this
- point we have bills for service that ended in 2000
- and service that ended in 2002.
- Whether last spring Ms. Heeb requested
- service again, although she has a final bill, she
- herself is not making payments on either of those
- 19 finaled accounts since last spring.
- She is aware of the bills and that they
- were incurred and that MidAmerican did nothing
- inconsistent with the statute, rule or tariff. Our

- 1 bills had more than sufficient information to
- 2 apprise her there was a problem and that she could
- 3 call in and find out what was going on.
- 4 At 280.50 of the Administrative Code, Part
- 5 280, when an applicant has failed to pay for past
- due service, a utility may refuse to provide service
- 7 unless the applicant pays the past due bill, and
- 8 that's exactly what MidAmerican is requiring at this
- 9 time.
- That's all, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.
- Mr. McCoy?
- MR. McCOY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 The testimony has been kind of confusing
- but I think I can boil it down to a simple issue.
- Is there a past due bill for the 1,609, and I think
- the answer has to be no.
- 18 MidAmerican agreed with Project NOW -- and
- 19 that's from the testimony of Ms. DeSalvo -- that
- they would accept payment of 1,609 for the past due
- bill, the old account, the 97490-60010 account.
- They agreed to accept payment.

Project NOW made the payment. The money
was misapplied.

Now, MidAmerican says it's not our fault

4

That's not clear because Project NOW, from

Ms. DeSalvo's testimony, may have gotten the account

number from MidAmerican, but in any case, the

agreement was that money was to go to the old

account and the money was paid.

that Project NOW gave us the wrong account number.

- That is not a debt then. It is an agreement for payment. The money is paid. It's accepted. There is not a debt.
- Therefore, MidAmerican cannot require

 payment of that 1,609 so-called old debt when there

 is no debt before providing service.
- I think it's probably within their rights
 to require payment of the \$259.93, but the 1,609,
 they accepted payment for it. It is not Ms. Heeb's
 fault.
- They try to say, well, she should have known what was going on.
- She didn't get any notice of what was

1	going	on	othe	r	than	credit	had	been	applied	to	her
2	accoun	nt v	vith	no	expl	anation	n.				

She did not create the error. She did not violate the agreement, so there is no debt to the 1,609.

There is a debt for the 259.93, and I think MidAmerican can fairly require her to pay that amount before giving service in her name.

Had she known that there was an old debt, she might have gotten LIHEAP assistance to pay that old debt.

I think in addition to MidAmerican's breach of its agreement with Project NOW, there's an equitable and thoughtful kind of argument in that she could have dealt with this problem last fall had she known that MidAmerican was claiming an old debt.

Quite simply, there is not an old debt where MidAmerican agrees to accept payment, does accept a payment, and then says, well, there was a goof and you should have known about it.

21 Thank you.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you both.

1	Do either one of you have anything else to
2	add?
3	MS. HUIZENGA: No, Your Honor.
4	MR. McCOY: No, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Then you both agree
6	to waive the filing of briefs?
7	MR. McCOY: Yes, Your Honor.
8	MS. HUIZENGA: Yes, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE ALBERS: All right. If there's nothing
10	further then, I will mark the record heard and
11	taken.
12	HEARD AND TAKEN.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	